

# FOR STORMS

ಸಂಪುಟ ೧೪೮ Volume 148 ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ಗುರುವಾರ, ಸೆಪ್ಟೆಂಬರ್ ೧೨, ೨೦೧೩ (ಭಾದ್ರಪದ ೨೧, ಶಕ ವರ್ಷ ೧೯೩೫) Bangalore, Thursday, September 12, 2013 (Bhadrapada 21, Shaka Varsha 1935)

a Varsha 1935) Issue 37

ಸಂಚಿಕೆ ೩೭

# ಭಾಗ ೪ಎ

ರಾಜ್ಯದ ವಿಧೇಯಕಗಳ ಮತ್ತು ಅವುಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಪರಿಶೀಲನಾ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ವರದಿಗಳು, ರಾಜ್ಯದ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಅಧ್ಯಾದೇಶಗಳು, ಕೇಂದ್ರದ ಮತ್ತು ರಾಜ್ಯದ ಶಾಸನಗಳ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ರಾಜ್ಯ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಹೊರಡಿಸಿದ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಶಾಸನಬದ್ಧ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ರಾಜ್ಯಾಂಗದ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ರಾಜ್ಯಪಾಲರು ಮಾಡಿದ ನಿಯಮಗಳು, ಹಾಗೂ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಉಚ್ಛ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯವು ಮಾಡಿದ ನಿಯಮಗಳು

ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಚಿವಾಲಯ

#### ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಸಿಒ 17 ಸಿಎನ್ಎಸ್ 2013, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ: 26-07-2013.

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ 1959ರ ಪ್ರಕರಣ 28ಎ ಉಪ ಪ್ರಕರಣ 4ಬಿ)(1)ರ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಪ್ರದತ್ತವಾದ ಅಧಿಕಾರಗಳನ್ನು ಚಲಾಯಿಸಿ, ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ನಗರ, ಗ್ರಾಮಾಂತರ ಮತ್ತು ರಾಮನಗರ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸಹಕಾರ ಬ್ಯಾಂಕ್, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಇದರ ಆಡಳಿತ ಮಂಡಳಿಗೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ರವಿ, ಮಾಜಿ ವಿಧಾನ ಪರಿಷತ್ ಸದಸ್ಯರು, "ಶಂಭು ನಿವಾಸ" 1ನೇ ವಾರ್ಡ್, ಕನಕಮರ, ರಾಮನಗರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ–562117 ಇವರನ್ನು ಸದಸ್ಯರನ್ನಾಗಿ ಈ ಕೂಡಲೇ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ಬರುವಂತೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಮುಂದಿನ ಆದೇಶದವರೆಗೆ ನಾಮನಿರ್ದೇಶನ ಮಾಡಿದೆ.

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯಪಾಲರ ಆದೇಶಾನುಸಾರ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಹೆಸರಿನಲ್ಲಿ

P.R. 438

ಜಿ.ಎಸ್. ರಮಣರೆಡ್ಡಿ

ವಿಶೇಷ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯಾಧಿಕಾರಿ ಹಾಗೂ ಪದನಿಮಿತ್ತ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಜಂಟಿ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ,

ಸಹಕಾರ ಇಲಾಖೆ.

#### **REVENUE SECRETARIAT**

#### **NOTIFICATION**

No: RD 143 Bhudasa 2012, Bangalore, Dated : 26-07-2013.

The draft of the following rules further to amend the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules-1966, which the Government of Karnataka proposes to make in exercise of powers conferred by Section 197(1) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act-1964 (Karnataka Act-12 of 1964) in Notification No. RD 143 Bhudasa 2012 dated: 12-02-2013 published in Karnataka Gazette-dated: 11-04-2013 inviting objections or suggestion from all the persons likely to be affected thereby, within 30 days from the date of publication in the official gazette.

And whereas, the said Gazette was made available on 11-04-2013.

And whereas, no objections and suggestions have been received by the Government. Now, therefore in exercise of the powers confirmed by the section 197 Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964), the Government of Karnataka hereby makes the following Rules namely:-

#### Rules

#### 1. Title and Commencement :-

- (1) These rules may be called "The Karnataka Land Revenue (Amendment) Rules, 2013"
- (2) They shall come into force from the date of publication in the official Gazette.

(೨೧೬೧)

#### 2. Amendment of Rule 46A to 46K:

- (i) The existing rule 46A 46K shall be deleted:
- (ii) Instead following rule "46A to 46K" shall be substituted.

#### Insertion of new rules:

"46A, Qualifications, experience and age for obtaining licence as a licenced surveyor

- (1) The Qualification and experience for the purpose of issue of a licence under section 18A, shall be as follows:-
  - (i) "Successful completion of job oriented course in land and city survey conducted by the Directorate of Vocational Education in Karnataka, or
  - (ii) Diploma in Civil Engineering granted by the board of Technical Education in Karnataka or Equivalent Qualification;
  - (iii) A Bachelors degree in civil engineering from an university established by the law in India" or
  - (iv) A pass in II PUC/12<sup>th</sup> Standard Examination conducted by CBSE or ICSE with science subject and must have secured not less than sixty percent in mathematics or
  - (v) A pass in ITI in Survey trade conducted by Department of Employment and Training, Government of Karnataka.

#### **SELECTION PROCESS:**

Department of Survey Settlement and Land Records shall conduct competative examination for the applicants who have applied for licence, in 2 subjects viz., (1) General knowledge (2) specific paper related to licence, each paper carrying maximum of 100 marks. Selection will be made on the merit obtained in the competative exam.

- (vi) Persons who have worked in the Survey Settlement Department or Survey of India or Public Sector undertakings or Private Organization and who have served for not less than ten years involving Land Survey work and who are not in Service on account of Resignation or voluntary retirement or superannuation shall be also be eligible to apply for licence.
- (2) All persons who have completed 18 years of age but not completed 65 years of age on the last date prescribed for receipt of applications for issue of licence and who are physically fit to discharge the duties assigned to them are eligible to apply for licence.

#### 46 B. Training:

Persons who are selected tentatively through exam on merit among the persons who have applied for issue of licence have to compulsorily undergo a training for a period not less than three months conducted by the department of Survey Settlement and Land Records at the Departmental Training Institute at Mysore & Gulbarga at the cost of the trainees as notified by the Commissioner/Director, Survey Settlement and Land Records and those who successfully completes the training shall be issued licence.

# 46 C. Licence:

Licence shall be issued by the Commissioner/Director, Survey Settlement and Land Records, Bangalore to the successful candidates in the examination conducted by Department of Survey, Settlement and Land Records on payment of licence fees as notified by the Commissioner/Director, Survey Settlement and Land Records. The licence shall be for a period of 3 years subject to renewal

Period of licence once issued shall be extended by renewal on an application to be made by the licence holder within one month from the date of expiry of period of licence, before the Deputy Director of Land Records of the respective Districts along with the fee as notified by the Commissioner/Director, Survey Settlement and Land Records.

Renewal of licence shall be subject to satisfactory service rendered by the licenced surveyor which is assessed by the concerned Deputy Director of Land Records of the District or through continuous evaluation mechanism prescribed by the Department. If the performance at any time is below the minimum standard fixed by the Department, Department would subject such Licenced Surveyor to further training for a period of not less than 3 months and after successful completion of the training, the Commissioner/Director, Survey Settlement and Land Records shall decide to renew the licence or not, or to recover the financial loss caused to the applicants.

#### 46 D. Register of Licenced Surveryors :

A register of Licenced Surveyors, District-wise shall be maintained in the office of the Head of the Department, Survey Settlement and Land Records in prescribed form 11A.

#### 46 E. Fee payable to the Licenced Surveyors :

The licenced surveryours shall be paid a fee as notified by the Government from time to time for preparing the sketch in accordance with Sections 129 and 131(c).

#### 46 F. Jurisdiction:

Jurisdiction of the licenced surveyor shall be specified in the licence. Once a jurisdiction is specified in the licence, it cannot be modified or altered under any circumstances.

#### 46 G. Additional particulars to be contained in the sketch :

Every sketch annexed to the report under the third proviso to section 128 shall also contain the following particulars, namely:

- 1. Information regarding Village/Taluk/District.
- Area Details.
- 3. Kharab details, blockwise.
- 4. Signature of vendor or prospective buyer/persons acquiring rights.
- 5. Signature of the Licenced Surveyor with seal.

The Department may issue directions to add any additional information from time to time.

#### 46. H. Work Specification:

- Respective jurisdictional Taluk survey office or any office of the Revenue Department notified by the Government shall
  receive applications from the persons acquiring/alienating right in the prescribed Form and collect the measurement fee
  as notified by the Government from time to time.
- 2) Before taking up measurement, Licenced Surveyor shall receive relevant file along with pre-certified copies of the survey documents of relevant survey number and certified copies of survey documents adjoining survey numbers from the respective taluk offices by remitting a fee as notified by Government from time to time.
  - Certified copies applied for by the Licenced Surveyor shall be issued on the same day or within a period of three days.
- 3) Licenced Surveyor shall issue a notice well in advance in prescribed form to the interested parties and to all the adjacent land holders before commencing measurements.
- 4) Licenced Surveyor shall fix the boundaries of survey or hissa number, before sub-dividing survey or hissa number in the pursuance of all interested parties duly recording their statements and draw mahazar in prescribed Form.
- 5) Such Sub-divided parcel of land should be well marked on the ground with boundary marks.
- 6) If there is any dispute regading the title or extent and of any other nature, the application shall be referred to the Survey Department for disposal as per rules.
- 7) Measurement shall be carried out as provided under sub-rule (4) of rule 50 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules 1966.
- 8) Licenced Surveyor has to prepare the following records.
  - (i) Hissa Survey Tippan or P.T. Sheet.
  - (ii) Hissa Survey pakka or Gunakar Register.
  - (iii) Sketch in prescribed Form.
  - (iv) Statement in prescribed Form duly signed by all concerned.

Licence Surveyors should prepare records as prescribed by the Department of Survey Settlement and Land records, from time to time.

- 9) Licenced Surveyor has to submit the documents specified in sub-rule (9) along with the notice specified in sub-rule (4) within the time prescribed by commissioner from the date of measurement to the survey office for scrutiny.
- 10) Taluk Survey office shall issue an acknowledgment to Licenced surveyor in prescribed form. The survey office shall finalise and shall issue Pre-Mutation sketch within SEVEN days, after validation as per sub-rule 46-I.
- 11) As far as pending cases are concerned the Government may issue necessary guidelines through a notice.

# 46 I. Scrutiny of records given by Licenced Surveyor in Taluk Survey Offices:

- (1) All the documents prepared and submitted by Licenced surveyor under Rule 46 H (9) shall be scrutinized and approved by the Taluk Survey Office. The detailed procedure for the same will be prescribed by the department from time to time.
- (2) The remaining documents like hissa survey tippan or hissa survey pakka or plain table sheets or gunakar register and one attested sketch in prescribed Form, shall be preserved separately village wise either in paper form or digital form as prescribed by the commissioner from time to time.

#### 46 J. Post registration work in Taluk Survey Office :

- (1) As soon as the mutation begins or after mutation is certified the copy of Pre-mutation sketch (in prescribed Form) shall be verified with the records already prepared and preserved.
- (2) If both the documents tally then the Prescribed Survey officer will complete durasthi work and initiate the process of incorporation in the Record of Rights, will approve the changes in survey records and prepare necessary documents as prescribed by the Department.

#### 46 K. Cancellation of Licence:

#### (1) Suspension of Licence of licenced surveyor :

Licence issued under Rule 46-A shall be kept under suspension if the licenced surveyor contravenes any of the provisions of the Act or rules, circulars and directions issued by the Government/Commissioner/ Director, Survey Settlement and Land Records after giving the showcause notice and/or an opportunity of being heard. The power to suspend the licence will be vested with the Deputy Director of Land Records, Department of Survey Settlement and Land Records. Appeal against the orders of suspension, shall lie to Joint Director of Land Records.

#### (2) Cancellation of Licence:

Licence issued under rule 46-A shall be cancelled if the Licenced Surveyor contravences any of the provisions of the Act, rules, circulars and directions issued by the Government/Commissioner/Director, Survey Settlement and Land Records, abstains from using the licence continuously for a period of 4 months, demands or accepts illegal gratification other than the fees due/payable to him and is under investigation by any Governmental agencies for misconduct or seeks change of jurisdiction already noted in the licence after giving the Licenced Surveyor an opportunity of being heard.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

P.R. 439 SC-100 SHAMBHULINGAIAH

Under Secretary to Government, Revenue Department (SSLR).

ಇಂಧನ ಸಚಿವಾಲಯ

ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ : ಇಎನ್ 190 ಸಮನ್ವಯ 2013, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ : 02-08-2013.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಣ, ಮುಖ್ಯ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು, ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿದ್ಯುತ್ ಪ್ರಸರಣ ನಿಗಮ ನಿಯಮಿತ, ಹಾಲಿ ಅಪರ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರು (ಯೋಜನೆಗಳು), ಪವರ್ ಕಂಪನಿ ಆಫ್ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಲಿಮಿಟೆಡ್ ಇವರನ್ನು ಮಂಗಳೂರು ವಿದ್ಯುತ್ ಸರಬರಾಜು ಕಂಪನಿ, ಮಂಗಳೂರು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಖಾಲಿ ಇರುವ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರು (ತಾಂತ್ರಿಕ) ಹುದ್ದೆಗೆ ಈ ಕೂಡಲೇ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ಬರುವಂತೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಮುಂದಿನ ಆದೇಶದವರೆಗೆ ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಮಂಗಳೂರು ವಿದ್ಯುತ್ ಸರಬರಾಜು ಕಂಪನಿ, ಮಂಗಳೂರು ಇದರ ಮೊಮೊರ್ರ್ಯಾಂಡಮ್ ಆಫ್ ಅಸೋಸಿಯೇಷನ್ ಮತ್ತು ಆರ್ಟಿಕಲ್ಸ್ ಆಫ್ ಅಸೋಸಿಯೇಷನ್ನ ಆರ್ಟಿಕಲ್ 74 (ಎ) ರಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರದತ್ತವಾದ ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ಚಲಾಯಿಸಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಣ, ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರು (ತಾಂತ್ರಿಕ) ಇವರನ್ನು ಈ ಕೂಡಲೇ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ಬರುವಂತೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಮುಂದಿನ ಆದೇಶದವರೆಗೆ ಮಂಗಳೂರು ವಿದ್ಯುತ್ ಸರಬರಾಜು ಕಂಪನಿ, ಮಂಗಳೂರು ಇದರ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರ ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರನ್ನಾಗಿ ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯಪಾಲರ ಆದೇಶಾನುಸಾರ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಹೆಸರಿನಲ್ಲಿ

P.R. 442

ಎ.ಎ. ಚಪ್ಪರ್ಬಂದ

ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧೀನ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ, ಇಂಧನ ಇಲಾಖೆ.

#### **AGRICULTURE SECRETARIAT**

#### **NOTIFICATION-I**

No: AGD/21/AMS/2012, Bangalore, dated: 4-7-2013.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 15 of the Insecticides Act, 1968 (Central Act 46 of 1968). The Government of Karnataka hereby appoints the Commissioner for Agriculture in Karnataka to be the Appellate authority for the Whole of the State of Karnataka for the purpose of appeal against the decision of Licensing officer under Section 13 (except under the proviso to sub-Section (4)) or Section 14. Appeal shall be accompanied with a fee of Rs. 1000/- (Rupees One Thousand only).

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

P.R. 443

J.R. VISHWANATHACHAR

SC-100

Under Secretary to Government, Agriculture Department (Planning).

ಕೃಷಿ ಸಚಿವಾಲಯ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ-I

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೃಇ/21/ಕೃಉಇ/2012, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ : 25-07-2013.

ಕೀಟನಾಶಕಗಳ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ, 1968 (1968ರ ಕೇಂದ್ರೀಯ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ 46) ರ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ 15ರಲ್ಲಿ ದತ್ತವಾದ ಅಧಿಕಾರದ ಮೇರೆಗೆ, ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ 13 (ಸಬ್–ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ (4)ನ್ನು ಹೊರತುಪಡಿಸಿ) ಅಥವಾ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ 14 ರಡಿ ಪರವಾನಗಿ ನೀಡಿಕೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ನೀಡುವ ತೀರ್ಮಾನದ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ಮೇಲ್ಮನವಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಕೃಷಿ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು, ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಇವರನ್ನು ರಾಜ್ಯದ ಮೇಲ್ಮನವಿ ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರಿಯನ್ನಾಗಿ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ನೇಮಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಮನವಿಯ ಜೊತೆಗೆ ರೂ. 1000/– (ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಒಂದು ಸಾವಿರ ಮಾತ್ರ) ಶುಲ್ಕ ಪಾವತಿ ರಸೀದಿಯನ್ನೊಳಗೊಂಡಿರಬೇಕು.

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯಪಾಲರ ಆದೇಶಾನುಸಾರ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಹೆಸರಿನಲ್ಲಿ

P.R.444 SC-100 ಜೆ.ಆರ್. ವಿಶ್ವನಾಥಾಚಾರ್ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧೀನ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ, ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆ (ಯೋಜನೆ).

# ಆರೋಗ್ಯ ಮತ್ತು ಕುಟುಂಬ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಸಚಿವಾಲಯ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಆಕುಕ 308 ಪಿಐಎಂ 2013, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ : 31–07–2013.

ಔಷಧ ಮತ್ತು ಕಾಂತಿವರ್ಧಕ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ 1940ರ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ 33–ಎಫ್ ಹಾಗೂ ನಿಯಮಾವಳಿ 1945ರ ನಿಯಮ 44ರನ್ವಯ ವೈಜ್ಞಾನಿಕಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಔಷಧ ಪರೀಕ್ಷಾ ಪ್ರಯೋಗಾಲಯ, ಆಯುಷ್ ಇಲಾಖೆ ಇವರನ್ನು ತಕ್ಷಣದಿಂದ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ಬರುವಂತೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಮುಂದಿನ ಆದೇಶದವರೆಗೆ "ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ವಿಶೇಷಕರು" ಎಂದು ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯಪಾಲರ ಆದೇಶಾನುಸಾರ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಹೆಸರಿನಲ್ಲಿ

ಕೆ.ಎನ್. ಹರಿಣಿಯಮ್ನ

P.R.445

ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧೀನ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ, ಆರೋಗ್ಯ ಮತ್ತು ಕುಟುಂಬ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಇಲಾಖೆ (ಭಾರತೀಯ ವೈದ್ಯ ಪದ್ಧತಿ).

# **HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE SECRETARIAT**

#### **CORRIGENDUM**

No: HFW 163 RGU 2013, Bangalore, dated : 27-07-2013.

The Government of Karnataka has notified the 3rd additional seat matrix for MBBS Course at Tadikela Subbaiah Medical College, Kudli road, Shimoga vide Notification No. HFW 163 RGU 2013, dated 16-07-2013. In the Annexures I and II appended to this notification for the words "Tadikela Subbaiah Medical College, Kudli road, Shimoga" the words "Subbaiah Institute of Medical Sciences, NH-13, H.H. Road, Purle, Shivamogga-577222" shall be substituted.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

P.R. 446

**MAHABOOB KHAN** 

SC-50

Under Secretary to Government,

Health and Family Welfare Department (Medical Education).

# FOREST, ECOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT SECRETARIAT

# **NOTIFICATION**

No: FEE 29 FWL 2013, Bangalore, dated: 29-07-2013.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka in exercise of Powers conferred under section 18 of Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 (Central Act, 53 of 1972) has declared the area described in the schedule of Government Notification No. AFD 50 FWL 74, dated : 05-06-1974 as "Brahmagiri Wildlife Sanctuary" by reasons of its ecological, faunal, floral, geomorphological, natural or zoological significance for the purpose of protecting, propagating or development Wildlife therein or its environment.

And now, the Government of Karnataka considers its necessary to declare the area as wildlife sanctuary under Sub-section (1)(b) of Section 26A of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 in view of the following reasons :

- 1. Since the area does not constitute any area other than an area comprised within the wildlife sanctuary or the territorial waters and comprises only reserved forest areas, hence the procedure to be followed for proclamation of rights as per provision of section 19 to 26 of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 does not arise;
- 2. also, the Revenue Department has not enquired and determined the existence, nature or extent of the right of any person in or over the land comprised within the limits of sanctuary or National Park as per the provisions of the section 19 to 26 of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972;
- 3. the notified area of Brahmagiri Wildlife Sanctuary comprises of Reserve Forests only;
- 4. while constituting and declaring the Reserve Forest, proclamation has already been carried out by the Forest Settlement Officer as per provisions of the Indian Forest Act (VII of 1878), and
- 5. The Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 was amended during October 1991 to insert Section 26A;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred under section 26A (1)(b) of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 (Central Act 53 of 1972), the Government of Karnataka hereby declares the area, the situation and limits which are specified in the Schedule of Government Notification No. AFD 50 FWL, 74 dated: 05-06-1974 as "Brahmagiri Wildlife Sanctuary" with effect from the date of publication of this notification.

#### **SCHEDULE**

Name of the District : Coorg District

Area : 44,630.06 Acres or 181.29 Sq. Km. or 18129.00 Ha

SI. No.	Name of the Reserved Forests	RF Notification No.	CPT No.	Total Area in Ha.	Enclosure Area in Ha.	Area included in the sanctuary in Ha.
1	Brahmagiri Ghat	No. 39 Chief	8	980.00	-	980.00
	Reserved Forest	Commissioner of	9	1013.00	-	1013.00
No.		Coorg Notification, Bangalore, dated:	10	1107.00	-	1107.00
		03-05-1904.	12	1063.00	-	1063.00
			11	1138.00	-	1138.00
			13	1215.00	-	1215.00
			14	930.0	-	930.00
			15	1123.22	56.22	1067.00
			-	1379.00		
No. 1			17	1200.00	-	1200.00
			18	960.00	-	960.00
			19	889.00	-	889,00
			Total :	12997.22	56.22	12941.00
2.	Urti Reserved Forest	No. 57, Chief	24	1275.89	1.89	1274.00
		Commissioner of	25	1122.00	-	1122.00
		Coorg Notification,	26	1047.92	190.92	857.00
No. 1		Bangalore, dated : 24-06-1908	27	660.00	-	660.00
		24 00 1000	28	1275.00	-	1275.00
			Total :	5380.81	192.81	5188.00
			Grand Total :	18378.03	249.03	18129.00

## **BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION**

**North and East:** The boundary line starts at the Perambadi tank which is the starting point of Urti reserved forest boundary and runs all along the boundary of Urti reserve forest in the eastern and further in the south eastern direction till it touches the boundary line of Brahmagiri ghat reserved forest at Barappe hole. Then, the line continues all along the boundary of Brahmagiri ghat reserved forest till it reaches Karnataka-Kerala inter-state boundary near Kutta.

**South and West**: Thence, the line runs westwards and northwards all along the Brahmagiri ghat reserved forest boundary which is also the interstate boundary of Karnataka-Kerala till it reaches a point on the Barappe hole river at the tri-junction of the Urti reserved forest, the Parakatageri village and the Malabar district. Then, the line follows the Urti reserved forest boundary along the Barappe hole river and the Malabar-Coorg district boundary up to the bridge joining the Government Perambadi ghat road. Then, the line follows the Urti reserved forest boundary all along the Makutta-Virajpet road till it reaches the starting point at Perambadi tank.

P.R. 447 SC-150 By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

S.P. PATIL

Under Secretary to Government,
Forest, Ecology and Environment Department.

# **AGRICULTURE SECRETARIAT**

#### **NOTIFICATION-II**

No: AGD/21/AMS/2012, Bangalore, dated: 04-07-2013.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the Section 26 of the Insecticides Act, 1968 (Central Act 46 of 1968) and in supersession of Notification-XII No. AHD/177/AMS/2007, Bangalore Dated: 11-04-2007. The Government of Karnataka hereby appoint the officers specified in column (2) of the table below to be the Officers for reporting all occurrences of poisoning (through the use or handling of any insecticide) and the areas specified in the corresponding entries in column (3) thereof:

SI No.	Designation of Officers	Areas
1	2	3
1.	All the District Joint Directors of Agriculture	Within their respective District jurisdiction
2.	All the District Health Officers.	Within their respective District Jurisdiction

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

P.R. 449 SC-100

#### J.R. VISHWANATHACHAR

Under Secretary to Government, Agriculture Department (Planning).

#### AGRICULTURE SECRETARIAT

#### **NOTIFICATION-III**

No: AGD/21/AMS/2012, Bangalore, dated: 04-07-2013.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the Sub-section (1) Section 20 of the Insecticides Act, 1968 (Central Act 46 of 1968) read with Rule 28 of the Insecticides Rules, 1971 and in supersession of Notification-XIII No. AHD/552/AMS/2001, Bangalore Dated: 06-05-2002. The Government of Karnataka hereby specially authorise Insecticide Inspectors to Inspect Manufacturing of Insecticides for the purpose of Rule 28 the officers specified in column (2) of the table below in respect of areas specified in the corresponding entries in column (3) thereof:

SI No.	Designation of Officers	Areas
1	2	3
1.	The Director of Agriculture	Whole State of Karnataka
2.	The Additional Director of Agriculture (Organic Farming)	Whole State of Karnataka
3.	The Joint Director of Agriculture (Inputs).	Whole State of Karnataka
4.	The Deputy Director of Agriculture (Plant Protection)	Whole State of Karnataka
5.	All the District Joint Directors of Agriculture.	Within their respective District Jurisdiction.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

P.R. 450 SC-100 J.R. VISHWANATHACHAR
Under Secretary to Government,
Agriculture Department (Planning).

# **AGRICULTURE SECRETARIAT**

#### **NOTIFICATION-V**

No: AGD/21/AMS/2012, Bangalore, dated: 04-07-2013.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 12 of the Insecticides Act, 1968 (Central Act 46 of 1968) read with Rule 10 of the Insecticides Rules, 1971 and in supersession of Notification-IX No. AHD/552/AMS/2001, Bangalore Dated: 14-02-2002. The Government of Karnataka hereby appoint the officers specified in column (2) of the table below to be the Licensing Officers for the grant of License to Sell, Stock or Exhibit for Sale or Distribution of Insecticides and License to Stock and Use Restricted Insecticide(s) for Commercial Pest Control Operations under the said section for the areas specified in the corresponding entries in column (3) thereof:

SI No.	Designation of Officers	Areas
1	2	3
1.	All the District Joint Directors of Agriculture	Within their respective District jurisdiction

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

# J.R. VISHWANATHACHAR

Under Secretary to Government, Agriculture Department (Planning).

# KARNATAKA GOVERNOR'S SECRETARIAT NOTIFICATION

#### NO. GS 9 GSE 2010, BANGALORE, DATED 2ND AUGUST 2013.

Whereas, Sri K.C.Kondaiah, member of the Karnataka Legislative Council, gave me a petition on 13-1-2010 requesting to disqualify three Cabinet Members of the Government of Karnataka namely, Sri G.Janardhana Reddy, Sri G.Karunakara Reddy and Sri B.Sriramulu under Article 191 of the Constitution of India read with section 9A of the Representation of the People Act 1951 for having a subsisting contract entered into by them in the course of their mining business with the Government.

Whereas, a notice was issued to the petitioner Sri. K.C. Kondaiah requesting him to furnish some more particulars and show cause notices were also issued to Sri. G. Janardhana Reddy, Sri. G. Karunakara Reddy and Sri. B. Sriramulu requesting to give their comments on the petition in question.

Whereas, Sri K.C.Kondaiah did not respond to the notice, so, however, Sri G.Janardhana Reddy, Sri G.Karunakara Reddy and Sri B.Sriramulu sent their replies.

Whereas in respect of the matter on the question of reference to the Election Commission of India a hearing was fixed on 10-5-2010 requesting all the parties to appear in person or through their counsels and the same was adjourned to 3-6-2010.

Whereas, after a careful consideration of the entire matter I felt that the opinion of Election Commission of India has to be obtained before giving any decision on the question as required under Clause (2) of Article 192 of the Constitution of India and accordingly the question was referred to the Election Commission of India on 3-6-2010.

Whereas, the Election Commission of India, after examining the matter furnished its opinion by its letter dated 19-7-2013 that the question of any disqualification of Sri G.Janardhana Reddy, Sri G.Karunakara Reddy and Sri B.Sriramulu raised in the petition of Sri K.C.Kondaiah for being members of Legislative Council / Legislative Assembly of Karnataka has become infructuous as they ceased to be members of the Legislative Council / Legislative Assembly.

Now, therefore, in excise of the powers conferred on me by Article 192 of the Constitution of India, I, H.R.Bhardwaj, the Governor of Karnataka hereby agree with the opinion of the Election Commission of India that the question of any disqualification of Sri G. Janardhana Reddy, Sri. G. Karunakara Reddy and Sri. B. Sriramulu raised in the petition of Sri K.C. Kondaiah has become infructuous and accordingly the petition of Sri. K.C. Kondaiah is dismissed.

H.R. BHARDWAJ

Governor of Karnataka

#### R. KALPANA

Under Secretary to Governor (Adm.)

# Reference Case No. 2(G) of 2010

[Reference from the Governor of Karnataka under Article 192(2) of the Constitution of India]

In re: Alleged disqualification of Shri G Janardhana Reddy, Shri G Karunakara Reddy and Shri B Sriramulu, under Art. 191(1) of the Constitution of India.

#### **OPINION**

This is a reference dated 03-06-2010 from Governor of Karnataka under Article 192(2) of the Constitution, seeking the Election Commission's opinion on the question of alleged disqualification of three members of the State Legislature, namely, Shri G. Janardhana Reddy, MLC, Shri. G. Karunakara Reddy and Shri. B. Sriramulu, both MLAs (hereinafter reffered to as respondents)

2. The Governor's reference is based on three petitions submitted by the petitioner, Shri K.C. Kondaiah, MLC, vide his petitions dated 13-01-2010, 15-05-2010 and 24-05-2010.

In the first petition (dated 13-1-10), the petitioner made the following allegations:

- The respondents who are also Ministers in the State Cabinet, have mining business in Andhra Pradesh and in Karnataka. They have influenced decisions of the State Govt. related to their business in Karnataka. This results in conflict of interest.
- The petitioner referred to Section 9A of the Representation of the People Act 1951, (which deals with disqualification for contract with the appropriate Govt.). However, there was no specific averment of any subsisting contract entered into by the three MLAs with the Govt. of Karnataka.
- The petitioner requested that the respondents be removed from their Ministerial position and also disqualified for holding office of profit.
- 3. The second petition dated 15<sup>th</sup> May, 2010, contained some allegations of misuse of position by the respondents, influencing cabinet decisions in their favour, causing loss to the public exchequer, influencing decision to withdraw cases pending against them including cases related to electoral offences, illegal demolition of ancient temple at the mining site, illegal mining and encroachment on Govt. land. The relief claimed in this petition was for removal of the respondents from the State Cabinet, and to take appropriate action against them.

- 4. In the third petition (dated 24-5-10), the petitioner raised the following allegations:
  - The respondents have mining lease with the State Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, in the name of Obalapuram Mining Company, AntharagangammaKonda Mines and Ananthapura Mining Corporation.
  - They are engaging in illegal mining and running benami mining companies in Karnataka.
  - They have been collecting percentage of profits from other smaller mining, companies in Karnataka.
  - The respondents are share holders in a Company by the name GLA Trading International Company registered on 30-11-2007 at Singapore, with offices in Singapore, Dubai and British Virginia Islands.In 2007-08, and 2008-09, the Obalapuram Mining Company exported 50 Mt. Tons of iron ore to the GLA Trading Company at a rate which was 50 \$ less than the market price, thereby making huge profit. This act amounts to the respondents being under allegiance to a foreign state attracting disqualification under Article 191(1)(d) of the Constitution.
  - The relief sought in the petition was that the respondents should be disqualified from membership of the State Legislature for their anti-national activities and dismissed from the Council of Ministers.
- 5. The Commission issued notice to the respondents on 23-06-2010 to file their written statements in reply to the petitions by 15-07-2010. But, the respondents instead approached the Karnataka High Court on 09-07-2010 by filing Writ Petitions Nos. 21187, 21188 and 20340 of 2010 challenging the reference made by the Governor and the Commission's notice to them asking for their reply. Although they prayed for stay of further proceedings, the High Court did not grant any stay order. The learned Single Judge heard the matter over several days and then referred it for consideration by a Division Bench. The High Court, vide its order dated 13-07-10, also granted extension by 10 days to the petitioners to file their reply before the Commission as the period for their reply was due to expire on 15-07-10.
  - 6. The respondents thereupon filed their reply to the Commission's notice on 26-07-2010. Their contentions were as follows:
    - The petitions do not make out any case of disqualification under the Constitution or under the Representation of the People Act, 1951. The reference by the Governor is without framing the disqualification question.
    - Illegal mining as alleged by the petitioner does not attract disqualification under the law.
    - The petitions seeking disqualification are vague and in the absence of any specific question, it is inappropriate to call for reply.
    - The petitions are not maintainable in view of the judgment of the Supreme Court in Kartar Singh Bhadana v Hari Singh Nalwa [(2001) 4 SCC 661] in which it was held that holding mining lease is not a disqualification under Sec. 9A of the RP Act, 51.
    - The allegations are not supported by affidavit.
    - In view of the petitions filed by them before the Karnataka High Court challenging the reference made by the Governor, the Commission may not proceed with the inquiry in the reference case.
    - They were Directors or partners in the mining companies even before they became members of the. Legislature. Therefore, disqualification, if any, existed . before their election.
    - The Commission should not conduct inquiry into complaints containing baseless allegations.
    - They also requested that they may be given a hearing on the preliminary submissions, or permit them to produce further documents and conduct a full-fledged inquiry.
- 7. The petitioner, in his rejoinder dated 11-08-2010, reiterated the submissions about illegal and benami mining activities, misuse of position by the respondents for their benefit at the cost of public interest, conflict of interest on account of the respondents being Ministers and also having business activities, iron ore export to GLA Trading Company by the Obalapuram Mining Company, etc. In a separate communication dated 14-08-2010, the petitioner stated that he was in the process of gathering further evidence and requested for more time to file the same. The Commission granted his request for time and allowed him to submit by 06-09-2010, additional documents, if any, related to the question of disqualification raised in his original petitions. The petitioner later requested for one more month to file the documents. The Commission again granted his request and permitted him to file the papers by 27-09-10. Later, the petitioner stated on 27-09-2010 that further information and evidence would be furnished at the time of hearing.
- 8. The Commission then fixed hearing in the matter on several dates, the first hearing being on 15-11-10. However, on 12-11-10, the petitioner submitted an application stating that he wanted to file voluminous records which are in vernacular and needed to be translated in English and requested for adjournment of hearing by 8 weeks. The respondents also filed applications stating that they had filed writ petitions before the Karnataka High Court, challenging the reference made by the Governor and made a request for adjournment of the hearing till their petitions were disposed of by the High Court. Granting the above requests, the Commission fixed a hearing on 11-03-11 which was adjourned to 31-03-11 on the prayer of the respondents. The respondents 1 and 3 again filed application on 08-03-2011 requesting for adjournment of hearing to a date beyond 27-05-11 which was the date then fixed for the hearing of their petitions before the High Court. Respondent No.2 also filed an application on 11-03-2011, requesting that the proceedings be kept in abeyance till the disposal of his writ petition. The Commission saw merit in this prayer and decided that further hearing would be considered after the outcome of the writ petitions was known. Pertinent to add here that the petitioner had not filed any additional documents, except a letter dated 04-03-11 in which he stated that respondent No. 1 and his wife had become partners of

- a Mining Company called Associated Mining Company. In the said letter, the petitioner also raised the issues of certain alleged illegalities committed by the respondents for their personal gain by misusing their office and influence. However, no document to support the allegation of contract of the respondents with the State Government of Karnataka was submitted.
- 9. The Karnataka High Court dismissed the writ petitions of the respondents on 04-06-2012. However, certified copy of the order passed by the High Court was received in the Commission on 29-01-2013. Thereupon, the Commission fixed further hearing in the matter on 25-03-13. It was observed that in the meantime, the term of respondent No. 1 as MLC had expired on 17-06-12. Thus, he was no longer a member of the State Legislature and hence the petition in so far as it concerned the first respondent had thereby become infructuous. Therefore, notice for hearing was given to the, petitioner and respondents 2 and 3 only.
- 10. At the hearing on 25-03-13, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner made a submission that the documents on which he was relying upon were in the custody of the CBI and the Karnataka Lokayukta, and he requested for two months time to file further documents in support of his petition. He also submitted written application to this effect. Considering the relevance of the documents under reference, the Commission directed the petitioner to submit the documents at the earliest and adjourned the hearing.
- 11. Thereafter, the petitioner has neither submitted any document so far nor sent any communication in this behalf. In the meantime, as the term of the Legislative Assembly of Karnataka was due to expire on 03-06-2013 a general election to constitute a new Legislative Assembly was held in April-May, 2013. The new Legislative Assembly was accordingly constituted on 10-05-2013 and the earlier Assembly was dissolved thereupon. The term of the new Legislative Assembly commenced w.e.f. 29-05-2013 under Article 172(1) of the Constitution.
- 12. With the dissolution of the earlier Legislative Assembly, the said respondents 2 and 3 ceased to be members of that Legislative Assembly. As noticed earlier, respondent No.1, Sh. Janardhana Reddy had already ceased to be member of the Legislative Council on the expiration of the term of his membership on 17-06-12. Thus, none of the three respondents now continue to be member of the House in relation to which the petitions raising the question of their disqualification were filed. In view of this, the issue now to be considered is whether the question of the alleged disqualification of the respondents raised in the petitions referred to above, still survives for proceeding with the inquiry into the question raised.
- 13. The proceedings before the Commission in the references from the President and the Governors under Articles 103(2) and 192(2) are quasi-judicial proceedings. Hence, in such matters, the Commission is guided by, and follows, the principles, procedures and policy adopted by the Supreme Court and High Courts. As a general principle, the Courts look into live issues between the parties and do not undertake to decide an issue which is purely academic or has become infructuous on account of any supervening event. In cases where during the pendency of an election petition or election appeal, the candidate whose election was under challenge ceased to be a member of the House concerned, on his death or on account of his resignation from the seat in the House concerned or where the House itself got dissolved, the Supreme Court and High Courts have treated the petition/appeal as infructuous and dismissed the petition/appeal as such.
  - 14. In Loknath Padhanvs Birendra Kumar Sahu (AIR 1974 SC 505), the Supreme Court has held that :

'It is a well settled practice recognized and followed in India as well as England that a Court should not undertake to decide an issue, unless it is a living issue between the parties. If an issue is purely academic in that its decision one way or the other would have no impact on the position of the parties it would be waste of public time and indeed not proper exercise of authority for the Court to engage itself in deciding it .."

15. Again the Supreme Court observed in DhartipakarMadanLal Vs. Rajiv Gandhi (AIR 1987 SC 1577) as follows:

'Court should not undertake to decide an issue unless it is a living issue between the parties. If an issue is purely academic, in that its decision one way or. the other would have no impact on the position of the parties, it would be waste of public time to engage itself in deciding it. Lord Viscount Simon in his speech in the House of Lords in Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada vs. Jervis, 1944 AC 111 observed," I do not think: that it would be a proper' exercise of the Authority which this House possesses to hear appeals if it occupies time in this case in deciding an academic question, the answer to which cannot affect the respondent in any way. It is an essential quality of an appeal fit to be disposed of by this House that there should exist between the parties to a matter on actual controversy which the House undertakes to decide as a living issue:' These observations are relevant in exercising the appellate jurisdiction of this Court:'

- 16. The Commission has consistently followed the above judicial principle in the reference cases where the member, against whom complaint was made, ceased to be a member of the House concerned, before opinion could be tendered by the Commission.
- 17. In all such cases in the past in which the person to whom the complaint pertained ceased to be a member of the House concerned, the Commission has consistently tendered opinion to the effect that the case had been rendered infructuous, and opinion by the Commission on the question raised would only be of academic value. The Commission's opinion dated 17-06-1971 in the reference case regarding alleged disqualification of Sh. Ranjibhai Choudhary and twelve other members of Gujarat Legislative Assembly (51 ELR 354), opinion dated 10-01-1972 in the matter of alleged disqualification of Sh. Lajinder Singh Bedi and two other members of Punjab Legislative Assembly (51 ELR 360), opinion dated 02-07 -1980 in the case of alleged disqualification of Sh. Avdhesh Singh and ten other members of Uttar Pradesh Legislative Assembly, opinion dated 17-10-1990, in case of alleged disqualification of Dr. Jaganath Mishra, Member of Rajya Sabha, opinion dated 27-10-1990 in the case of alleged disqualification Sh.Mahadeo Kashiray Patil, Member of Rajya Sabha, opinion dated 12-07-1992 in the case of alleged disqualification of Smt. Jayanthi Natarajan, Member of Rajya Sabha,

opinion dated 29-08-1997, regarding alleged disqualification of Ms. J. Jayalalitha, Member of Tamil Nadu Legislative Assembly, opinion dated 03-04-2006 in the reference case regarding alleged disqualification of Smt. Sonia Gandhi, Member of Lok Sabha and opinion dated 28-04-2006 in the reference case regarding alleged disqualification of Smt. Kapila Vatsyayan, Member of Rajya Sabha are a few examples of similar cases.

18. Out of the three respondents, Sh. Sriramulu has got elected to the Legislative Assembly again at the general election held in April-May, 2013. However, the present petition which raised question of alleged disqualification for being a member of the previous Legislative Assembly can not be treated as a petition raising any question of his disqualification for being a member of the current Legislative Assembly. Further, the question of disqualification raised in the present petition would be a matter of pre-election disqualification, if at all any disqualification is attracted, in so far as the membership of Sh. Sriramulu in the current Legislative Assembly is concerned. Under Article 192(1) of the Constitution, only cases of disqualification incurred after being elected as a member can be raised before the Governor. Questions of pre-election disqualification can not be raised under Article 192(1) of the Constitution. Reference in this context is invited to the Supreme Courts catena of decisions in Election Commission Vs. SakaVenkataRao (AIR 1953 SC 201); BrundabanNaik Vs. Election Commission (AIR 1965 SC 1892); Election Commission Vs. N.G.Ranga (AIR 1978 SC 1609); etc.

19. Having regard to the above constitutional and Legal position, and consistent with the view taken by the Commission in all such reference cases in the past, mentioned above, the Commission is of the considered opinion that the question of alleged disqualification of Shri.G Janardhana Reddy, Shri G Karunakara Reddy and Shri B Sriramulu raised in the petitions of Sh. K. C. Kondaiah for being members of the Legislative Council / Legislative Assembly of Karnataka, has become infructuous.

20. Accordingly, the reference dated 3rd June, 2010, of the Governor of Karnataka, is hereby returned with the Commission's opinion under Article 192 (2) that the same has become infructuous.

Dr. NASIM ZAIDI ELECTION COMMISSIONER V.S.SAMPATH
CHIEF ELECTION COMMISSIONER

H.S. BRAHMA
ELECTION COMMISSIONER

**R KALPANA** 

P.R.491

Under Secretary to Governor (Administration)

#### ಒಳಾಡಳಿತ ಸಚಿವಾಲಯ

# ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆ

# ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಹೆಚ್ಡಿ 252 ಎಸ್ಎಸ್ಟಿ 2013, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ : 26-07-2013.

ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರಗಳಿಗೆ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯದ 20-ಮಂಡ್ಯ ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ ಹಾಗೂ 23-ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಗ್ರಾಮಾಂತರ ಚುನಾವಣೆಯು ದಿನಾಂಕ : 21-08-2013 ರಂದು ನಡೆಯಲಿದ್ದು ಚುನಾವಣೆ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಚಾಚೂತಪ್ಪದೇ ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

- 1. ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಅಧೀಕ್ಷಕರುಗಳು ಜೊತೆಗೂಡಿ ಅವರವರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿನ ಸೂಕ್ಷ್ಮ ಹಾಗೂ ಅತೀ ಸೂಕ್ಷ್ಮ ಮತಗಟ್ಟೆಗಳನ್ನು ಗುರುತಿಸಿ ಕೂಡಲೇ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಚುನಾವಣಾ ಆಯೋಗಕ್ಕೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.
- 2. ಸೂಕ್ಷ್ಮ ಹಾಗೂ ಅತೀ ಸೂಕ್ಷ್ಮ ಮತಗಟ್ಟೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಭದ್ರತಾ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು,
- 3. ಸೂಕ್ಷ್ಮ ಹಾಗೂ ಅತೀ ಸೂಕ್ಷ್ಮ ಮತಗಟ್ಟೆಗಳ ಭದ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯಿಂದಲೇ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಹಾಗೂ ಗೃಹರಕ್ಷಕ ದಳದ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳನ್ನು ನೇಮಿಸಬಾರದು.
- 4. ಮೂರಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಮತಗಟ್ಟೆಗಳು ಒಂದೇ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿದ್ದರೆ, ಅವುಗಳಿಗೆ ಬಂದೋಬಸ್ತ್ ನೀಡಲು ಕನಿಷ್ಠ ಒಬ್ಬ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಪೇದೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಅವರ ಜೊತೆ ಗೃಹರಕ್ಷಕ ದಳದ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿ ಅಥವಾ ಪೊಲೀಸರನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸುವುದು. ಗೃಹ ರಕ್ಷಕ ದಳದವರನ್ನು ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಮತಗಟೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನೇಮಿಸಲು ಕಮ ವಹಿಸುವುದು.
- 5. ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಹೊಲೀಸ್ ಅಧೀಕ್ಷಕರು ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆಗೆ ತಕ್ಕಂತೆ ಸಂಚಾರಿ ದಳಗಳನ್ನು ರಚಿಸಬೇಕು. ಚುನಾವಣಾ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆ ನಡೆಯುವಾಗ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಮತಗಟ್ಟೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಜನಸಂಚಾರ, ದಟ್ಟಣೆ ಅಥವಾ ಯಾವುದೇ ಅಹಿತಕರ ಘಟನೆ ನಡೆಯುವ ಪ್ರಸಂಗವುಂಟಾದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಸಂಚಾರಿ ದಳಗಳನ್ನು ನಿಯೋಜಿಸಿ ಅಂತಹ ಮತಗಟ್ಟೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸೂಕ್ಕ ಭದ್ರತೆ ನೀಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.
- 6. ಸರ್ಕಲ್ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಇನ್ಸ್ ಪೆಕ್ಟರ್, ಡಿವೈಎಸ್ಪ್ ಹಾಗೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚುವರಿ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಅಧೀಕ್ಷಕರುಗಳು ಇವರುಗಳು ತಮ್ಮ ಸ್ವಂತ ಊರು/ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದರೆ, ಅವರನ್ನು ಕೂಡಲೇ ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಬೇರೆ ಕಡೆಗೆ ವರ್ಗಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.
- 7. ಚುನಾವಣಾ ಪೂರ್ವದಲ್ಲಿ ಶಸ್ತ್ರಾಸ್ತ್ರ ಪರವಾನಗಿ ಹೊಂದಿರುವವರು ಶಸ್ತ್ರಾಸ್ತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ತಕ್ಷಣ ಠೇವಣಿ ಇಡುವಂತೆ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಿಸುವುದು. ಈ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಗಣಕಯಂತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಲಭ್ಯವಿರುವಂತೆ ನೋಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು.
- 8. ಚುನಾವಣಾ ವೇಳೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಗೂ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ಪೂರ್ವದಲ್ಲಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಛತ್ರ ಸಂಘ–ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳು ಹಾಗೂ ಇತ್ಯಾದಿಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಕಟ್ಟುನಿಟ್ಟಿನ ನಿಗಾ ವಹಿಸುವುದು ಹಾಗೂ ಅವರುಗಳ ಕೆಲಸ ನಿರ್ವಹಣೆ ಮೇಲೂ ಸಹ ಗುಪ್ತಚರ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಂದ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ತರಿಸಿ ನಿಗಾ ವಹಿಸುವುದು.
- 9. ಚುನಾವಣಾ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಡಚಣೆ ಅಥವಾ ಯಾವುದೇ ತರಹದ ತೊಂದರೆಯನ್ನು ಉಂಟು ಮಾಡುವ ಸಾಧ್ಯತೆ ಇರುವ ಸಮಾಜಘಾತುಕ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ತೀವ್ರ ನಿಗಾ ವಹಿಸುವುದು. ಅದೇ ರೀತಿ ವಿವಿಧ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ/ ಕಾನೂನಿನಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವರನ್ನು ಮುಂಜಾಗ್ರತಾ ಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಬಂಧಿಸಿ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳುವುದು (Preventive Detention) ಅವಶ್ಯವಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಗಡೀಪಾರು ಮಾಡುವುದು.
- 10. ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಸಮಾಜಘಾತುಕ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ವಿವಿಧ ಕಾನೂನಿನಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾರಿಯಾಗದ ಬಾಕಿ ಇರುವ ಜಾಮೀನುರಹಿತ ವಾರಂಟ್ ನ್ನು ಜಾರಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕ್ರಮ ಜರುಗಿಸುವುದು.

- 11. ಚುನಾವಣಾ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಗಡಿ ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳನ್ನು ಕಡ್ಡಾಯವಾಗಿ ಸೀಲ್ ಮಾಡುವುದು.
- 12. ಗಡಿ ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಚೆಕ್ ಮೋಸ್ಟ್ ನ್ನು ಸ್ಥಾಪಿಸಿ ಗಡಿಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಓಡಾಡುವ ವಾಹನಗಳ ಹಾಗೂ ಜನರ ಚಲನವಲನಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಕಣ್ಯಾವಲಿರಿಸುವುದು.
- 13. ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಮೊಲೀಸ್ ಅಧೀಕ್ಷಕರ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಕಂಟ್ರೋಲ್ ರೂಂನ್ನು ಸ್ಥಾಪಿಸಿ ಅದರ ದೂರವಾಣಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯ ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯ ಹೆಸರು, ಹುದ್ದೆ, ದೂರವಾಣಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ, ಮೊಬೈಲ್ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ಆಯೋಗಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ (ಒಳಾಡಳಿತ ಇಲಾಖೆ) ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು. ಸದರಿ ಕಂಟ್ರೋಲ್ ರೂಂನಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕವಾದ ದೂರು ಅರ್ಜಿ ವಹಿಯನ್ನು ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು. ಯಾರಾದರೂ ದೂರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದಲ್ಲಿ 24 ಗಂಟೆಗಳೊಳಗಾಗಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ನೀಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು. ಪ್ರತಿ ವಾರದ ಕೊನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿದ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳು ಹಾಗೂ ವಿಲೇಯಾದ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅಂಕಿ-ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿ ಹಾಗೂ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ವೀಕ್ಷಕರಿಗೆ ಕಡ್ಡಾಯವಾಗಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು. ದೂರು ಅರ್ಜಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳದೇ ಇದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅದನ್ನು ರಾಜ್ಯ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ಆಯೋಗವು ಗಂಭೀರವಾಗಿ ಪರಿಗಣಿಸುವುದು.
- 14. ಚುನಾವಣಾ ವೀಕ್ಷಕರಿಗೆ ಸೂಕ್ತ ರಕ್ಷಣೆ ನೀಡಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಜರುಗಿಸುವುದು. ಅವರು ತಂಗಿರುವ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ರಕ್ಷಣೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಸ್ವತಃ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕನಿಷ್ಠ ಒಬ್ಬ ಅಂಗರಕ್ಷಕನನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸುವುದು.
- 15. ಚುನಾವಣಾ ವೇಳೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಳ್ಳಭಟ್ಟಿ ಸಾರಾಯಿ, ಶೇಂದಿ ಹಾಗೂ ಸಾರಾಯಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಆಗದಂತೆ ನೋಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳತಕ್ಕದ್ದು. ಜೊತೆಗೆ ಗಾಂಜಾ ಹಾಗೂ ನಾರ್ಕೊಟಿಕ್ ಡ್ರಗ್ಸ್ ಗಳ ಮಾರಾಟ, ಕಳ್ಳಸಾಗಾಣೆ ಮೇಲೆ ತೀವ್ರ ನಿಗಾ ವಹಿಸುವುದು ಹಾಗೂ ಕಠಿಣ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳವುದು. ಚುನಾವಣಾ ವೇಳೆ ಹದ್ದುಬಸ್ಸಿನ ಹೊರಗಡೆಯೂ ಸಹ ವಾಹನಗಳ ಹಾಗೂ ಜನರ ಚಲನವಲನಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸೂಕ್ತ ನಿಗಾ ವಹಿಸತಕ್ಷದ್ದು.
- 16. ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್ ಅಪರಾಧದ ಹಿನ್ನೆಲೆಯುಳ್ಳ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳು, ಗೂಂಡಾ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗಿಯಾಗಿರುವವರು, ಸಮಾಜಘಾತುಕ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳು, ವೃತ್ತಿನಿರತ ಮಾಫಿಯಾಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತೀವ್ರ ನಿಗಾ ಇಡುವುದು.
- 17. ಚುನಾವಣೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ದೂರು ಬಂದಲ್ಲಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಕೂಡಲೇ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಅದನ್ನು ವಿಲೇ ಮಾಡುವುದು. ದೂರುದಾರರಿಗೆ ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹಿಂಬರಹವನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಮುಖ್ಯ ಚುನಾವಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿ, ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಹಾಗೂ ಒಳಾಡಳಿತ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಹೆಚ್ಚುವರಿ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವುದು.
- 18. ಚುನಾವಣೆಯ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಗೂ ಚುನಾವಣೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್ ಪ್ರಕರಣ ದಾಖಲಾದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂತಹ ಪ್ರಕರಣಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಅಭಿಯೋಜಕರುಗಳು ಆರೋಪಿಗಳ ಜಾಮೀನು ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ವಿರೋಧಿಸಿ ಜಾಮೀನು ಸಿಗದ ಹಾಗೆ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ವಾದ ಮಂಡಿಸುವುದು.
- 19. ತಮ್ಮ ತಮ್ಮ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅಲ್ಪಸಂಖ್ಯಾತರು, ಹಿಂದುಳಿದ ವರ್ಗದವರು ವಾಸಿಸುವ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳನ್ನು ಗುರುತಿಸಿ ಅಂತಹ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಸೂಕ್ತ ನಿಗಾ ವಹಿಸಿ ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆಗೆ ಅನುಗುಣವಾಗಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ರಕ್ಷಣೆಯನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಿ ಅವರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಭಯ–ಬೀತಿ ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ಮತ ಚಲಾಯಿಸಲು ಅನುವು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡತಕ್ತದ್ದು.
- 20. ಅಂತರ್ ರಾಜ್ಯ ಗಡಿ ಭಾಗಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ನಿಗಾ ವಹಿಸುವುದು. ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ದಂಡಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಶಸ್ತ್ರಾಸ್ತ್ರ ಕಾಯಿದೆ ಅಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಲೈಸೆನ್ಸ್ ದಾರರು ತಮ್ಮ ತಮ್ಮ ಆಯುಧಗಳನ್ನು ಡೆಪಾಸಿಟ್ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ದೃಢಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಹಾಗೂ ಶಸ್ತ್ರಾಸ್ತ್ರ ಮಾರಾಟಗಾರರು/ಡೀಲರ್ಸ್/ರಿಪೇರಿ ಮಾಡುವವರ ಮಳಿಗೆ/ಅಂಗಡಿಗಳನ್ನು ತಪಾಸಣೆ ಮಾಡುವುದು.
- 21. ಚುನಾವಣೆಯ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಕಾನೂನು ಮತ್ತು ಸುವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಗೆ ಭಂಗ ಬಾರದಂತೆ ಸುಗಮವಾಗಿ ನಡೆಸುವಲ್ಲಿ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.
- 22. ಭದ್ರತೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಕಾನೂನು ಸುವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಗೆ, ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ನೆಮ್ಮದಿಗೆ ಭಂಗ ಉಂಟಾಗುವ ಸನ್ನಿವೇಶಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾರತೀಯ ದಂಡ ಸಂಹಿತೆಯಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೆಕ್ಯೂರಿಟಿ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ಸ್ಗಳು ದಾಖಲಿಸಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ, ಅಂತಹುಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ದಂಡಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು/ಉಪವಿಭಾಗೀಯ/ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ದಂಡಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಕೂಡಲೇ ನಿಯಮಾನುಸಾರ ಕ್ರಮ ಜರುಗಿಸತಕ್ಷದ್ದು.
- 23. ಚುನಾವಣೆ ಮುಗಿಯುವವರೆಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ರೌಡಿಶೀಟ್ ನ್ನು ಮುಕ್ತಾಯ ಮಾಡಬಾರದು.
- 24. ಚುನಾವಣೆ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಪ್ರಮುಖ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ವಿಡಿಯೋ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳವುದು.
- ಈ ಸೂಚನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಯಾವುದೇ ಲೋಪಗಳಿಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ನೀಡದಂತೆ ಕಟ್ಟುನಿಟ್ಟಾಗಿ ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

ಎಸ್.ಕೆ. ಪಟ್ಟನಾಯಕ್

P.R.448

ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಹೆಚ್ಚುವರಿ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ, ಒಳಾಡಳಿತ ಇಲಾಖೆ.

SC-150

#### **AGRICULTURE SECRETARIAT**

#### **NOTIFICATION-IV**

No: AGD/21/AMS/2012, Bangalore, dated: 04-07-2013.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the Sub-Section (1) Section 31 of the Insecticides Act, 1968 (Central Act 46 of 1968) and in supersession of Notification-XII No. AHD/552/AMS/2001, Bangalore Dated: 06-05-2002. The Government of Karnataka hereby appoint the officers specified in column (2) of the table below to be the Officers for issuing written consent for prosecution for an offence under the Insecticides Act, 1968 and the areas specified in the corresponding entries in column (3) thereof:

SI No.	Designation of Officers	Areas
1	2	3
1.	The Additional Director of Agriculture (Organic Farming)	Whole State of Karnataka in case of Insecticide Inspectors seeking written consent to institute prosecution for any offence noticed while discharging their duties under Rule 28 (Inspectors specially authorized to inspect the manufacture of insecticides) of the Insecticides Rules, 1971 and Insecticide Inspectors appointed by the Central Government.
2.	All the District Joint Directors of Agriculture.	Within their respective District Jurisdiction except in case of Insecticide Inspectors seeking written consent to institute prosecution for any offence noticed while discharging their duties under Rule 28 (Inspectors specially authorized to inspect the manufacture of insecticides) of the Insecticides Rules, 1971 and Insecticide Inspectors appointed by the Central Government.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

P.R. 451

J.R. VISHWANATHACHAR

Under Secretary to Government, Agriculture Department (Planning).

#### **AGRICULTURE SECRETARIAT**

#### **NOTIFICATION-VI**

No: AGD/21/AMS/2012, Bangalore, dated: 04-07-2013.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the Section 12 of the Insecticides Act, 1968 (Central Act 46 of 1968) read with Rule 9 of the Insecticides Rules, 1971 and in supersession of Notification-X No. AHD/552/AMS/2001, Bangalore Dated 14-02-2002. The Government of Karnataka hereby appoints the Director of Agriculture in Karnataka to be the Licensing Officer for the Whole of the State of Karnataka for the purpose of granting Licence to Manufacture Insecticides.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

P.R. 453 SC-100 J.R. VISHWANATHACHAR
Under Secretary to Government,
Agriculture Department (Planning).

ಕೃಷಿ ಸಚಿವಾಲಯ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ–II

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೃಇ/21/ಕೃಉಇ/2012, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ : 25-07-2013.

ಕೀಟನಾಶಕಗಳ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ, 1968 (1968ರ ಕೇಂದ್ರೀಯ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ 46) ರ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ 26 ರಲ್ಲಿ ದತ್ತವಾದ ಅಧಿಕಾರದ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ–XII ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ : ಎಹೆಚ್ಡಿ/177/ಎಎಂಎಸ್/2007, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ : 11–04–2007ನ್ನು ರದ್ದುಗೊಳಿಸಿ, ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಕೆಳಗಿನ ತಃಖ್ತೆಯಲ್ಲಿನ (2)ನೇ ಕಾಲಂನಲ್ಲಿ ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟಪಡಿಸಿದ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು (3)ನೇ ಕಾಲಂನ ಅನುಸಾರ ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟಪಡಿಸಿದ ಪ್ರದೇಶಕ್ಕೆ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೀಟನಾಶಕ ವಿಷಪ್ರಾಶನ (ಕೀಟನಾಶಕಗಳನ್ನು ಬಳಸುವಾಗ ಅಥವಾ ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸುವಾಗ) ಪ್ರಕರಣಗಳನ್ನು ವರದಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ನೇಮಿಸಿದೆ.

ಕ್ರಮ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಪದನಾಮ	ಪ್ರದೇಶ
1.	ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಜಂಟಿ ಕೃಷಿ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರುಗಳು	ಅವರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ವ್ಯಾಪ್ತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ
2.	ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಆರೋಗ್ಯಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು	ಅವರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ವ್ಯಾಪ್ತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯಪಾಲರ ಆದೇಶಾನುಸಾರ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಹೆಸರಿನಲ್ಲಿ

P.R.454

ಜೆ.ಆರ್. ವಿಶ್ವನಾಥಾಚಾರ್ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧೀನ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ, ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆ (ಯೋಜನೆ).

SC-100

# ಕೃಷಿ ಸಚಿವಾಲಯ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ-III

# ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೃಇ/21/ಕೃಉಇ/2012, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ : 25-07-2013.

ಕೀಟನಾಶಕಗಳ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ, 1968 (1968ರ ಕೇಂದ್ರೀಯ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ 46) ರ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ 20 ಸಬ್–ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್(1) ರಲ್ಲಿ (ಕೀಟನಾಶಕಗಳ ನಿಯಮಾವಳಿಗಳು, 1971ರ ನಿಯಮ 28 ರೊಂದಿಗೆ ಓದಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು) ದತ್ತವಾದ ಅಧಿಕಾರದ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ– XIII ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ : ಎಹೆಚ್ಡಿ/552/ಎಎಂಎಸ್/2001, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ : 06–05–2002ನ್ನು ರದ್ದುಗೊಳಿಸಿ, ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಕೆಳಗಿನ ತಃಖ್ತೆಯಲ್ಲಿನ (2)ನೇ ಕಾಲಂನಲ್ಲಿ ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟಪಡಿಸಿದ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು (3)ನೇ ಕಾಲಂನ ಅನುಸಾರ ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟಪಡಿಸಿದ ಪ್ರದೇಶಕ್ಕೆ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೀಟನಾಶಕಗಳ ನಿಯಮಾವಳಿಗಳು, 1971ರ ನಿಯಮ 28ರಡಿ ವಿಶೇಷವಾಗಿ, ಕೀಟನಾಶಕ ತಯಾರಿಕಾ ಘಟಕಗಳನ್ನು ತಪಾಸಣೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕೀಟನಾಶಕ ಪರಿವೀಕ್ಷಕರನ್ನಾಗಿ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ನೇಮಿಸಿದೆ.

ಕ್ರಮ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಪದನಾಮ	ಪ್ರದೇಶ
1.	ಕೃಷಿ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರು	ಕರ್ನಾಟಕದದ್ಯಾಂತ
2.	ಅಪರ ಕೃಷಿ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರು (ಸಾವಯವ ಕೃಷಿ)	ಕರ್ನಾಟಕದದ್ಯಾಂತ
3.	ಜಂಟಿ ಕೃಷಿ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರು (ಪರಿಕರ)	ಕರ್ನಾಟಕದದ್ಯಾಂತ
4.	ಉಪ ಕೃಷಿ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರು (ಸಸ್ಯ ಸಂರಕ್ಷಣೆ)	ಕರ್ನಾಟಕದದ್ಯಾಂತ
5.	ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಜಂಟಿ ಕೃಷಿ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರುಗಳು	ಅವರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ವ್ಯಾಪ್ತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯಪಾಲರ ಆದೇಶಾನುಸಾರ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಹೆಸರಿನಲ್ಲಿ

P.R.455 SC-100 ಜೆ.ಆರ್. ವಿಶ್ವನಾಥಾಚಾರ್ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧೀನ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ, ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆ (ಯೋಜನೆ).

ಕೃಷಿ ಸಚಿವಾಲಯ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ–IV

# ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೃಇ/21/ಕೃಉಇ/2012, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ : 25-07-2013.

ಕೀಟನಾಶಕಗಳ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ, 1968 (1968ರ ಕೇಂದ್ರೀಯ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ 46) ರ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ 31 ಸಬ್–ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್(1) ರಲ್ಲಿ ದತ್ತವಾದ ಅಧಿಕಾರದ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ– XII ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ : ಎಹೆಚ್ಡಿ/552/ಎಎಂಎಸ್/2001, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ : 06–05–2007ನ್ನು ರದ್ದುಗೊಳಿಸಿ, ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಕೆಳಗಿನ ತಃಖ್ತೆಯಲ್ಲಿನ (2)ನೇ ಕಾಲಂನಲ್ಲಿ ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟಪಡಿಸಿದ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು (3)ನೇ ಕಾಲಂನ ಅನುಸಾರ ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟಪಡಿಸಿದ ಪ್ರದೇಶಕ್ಕೆ ಕೀಟನಾಶಕಗಳ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ, 1968ರಡಿ ಎಸಗಿದ ಅಪರಾಧಕ್ಕೆ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆ ಹೂಡಲು ಲಿಖಿತ ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ನೀಡುವ ಸಲುವಾಗಿ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ನೇಮಿಸಿದೆ.

ಕ್ರಮ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಪದನಾಮ	ಪ್ರದೇಶ
1.	ಅಪರ ಕೃಷಿ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರು (ಸಾವಯವ ಕೃಷಿ)	ಕರ್ನಾಟಕದದ್ಯಾಂತ, ಕೀಟನಾಶಕಗಳ ನಿಯಮಾವಳಿಗಳು, 1971ರ ನಿಯಮ 28ರಡಿ ವಿಶೇಷವಾಗಿ, ಕೀಟನಾಶಕ ತಯಾರಿಕಾ ಘಟಕಗಳನ್ನು ತಪಾಸಣೆ ಮಾಡುವ ಕೀಟನಾಶಕ ಪರಿವೀಕ್ಷಕರುಗಳು ಕರ್ತವ್ಯ ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸುವಾಗ ಕಂಡು ಬಂದ ಯಾವುದೇ ಅಪರಾಧಕ್ಕೆ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆ ಹೂಡಲು ಕೋರುವ ಮತ್ತು ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ನೇಮಿಸಿದ ಕೀಟನಾಶಕ ಪರಿವೀಕ್ಷಕರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಲಿಖಿತ ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ನೀಡಲು.
2.	ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಜಂಟಿ ಕೃಷಿ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರುಗಳು	ಅವರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ವ್ಯಾಪ್ತಿಯಲ್ಲಿನ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೀಟನಾಶಕ ಪರಿವೀಕ್ಷಕರುಗಳಿಗೆ (ಕೀಟನಾಶಕಗಳ ನಿಯಮಾವಳಿಗಳು, 1971ರ ನಿಯಮ 28ರಡಿ ವಿಶೇಷವಾಗಿ, ಕೀಟನಾಶಕ ತಯಾರಿಕಾ ಘಟಕಗಳನ್ನು ತಪಾಸಣೆ ಮಾಡುವ ಕೀಟನಾಶಕ ಪರಿವೀಕ್ಷಕರುಗಳು ಕರ್ತವ್ಯ ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸುವಾಗ ಕಂಡು ಬಂದ ಯಾವುದೇ ಅಪರಾಧಕ್ಕೆ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆ ಹೂಡಲು ಕೋರುವ ಮತ್ತು ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ನೇಮಿಸಿದ ಕೀಟನಾಶಕ ಪರಿವೀಕ್ಷಕರುಗಳನ್ನು ಹೊರತುಪಡಿಸಿ).

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯಪಾಲರ ಆದೇಶಾನುಸಾರ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಹೆಸರಿನಲ್ಲಿ

P.R.456 SC-100

ಜೆ.ಆರ್. ವಿಶ್ವನಾಥಾಚಾರ್ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧೀನ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ, ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆ (ಯೋಜನೆ).

# ಕೃಷಿ ಸಚಿವಾಲಯ

# ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ–V

# ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೃಇ/21/ಕೃಉಇ/2012, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ : 25-07-2013.

ಕೀಟನಾಶಕಗಳ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ, 1968 (1968ರ ಕೇಂದ್ರೀಯ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ 46) ರ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ 12ರಲ್ಲಿ (ಕೀಟನಾಶಕಗಳ ನಿಯಮಾವಳಿಗಳು, 1971ರ ನಿಯಮ 10 ರೊಂದಿಗೆ ಓದಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು) ದತ್ತವಾದ ಅಧಿಕಾರದ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ– IX ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ : ಎಹೆಚ್ಡಿ/552/ಎಎಂಎಸ್/2001, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ : 14–02–2002ನ್ನು ರದ್ದುಗೊಳಿಸಿ, ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಕೆಳಗಿನ ತಃಖ್ತೆಯಲ್ಲಿನ (2)ನೇ ಕಾಲಂನಲ್ಲಿ ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟಪಡಿಸಿದ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು (3)ನೇ ಕಾಲಂನ ಅನುಸಾರ ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟಪಡಿಸಿದ ಪ್ರದೇಶಕ್ಕೆ, ಮಾರಾಟ, ದಾಸ್ತಾನು ಅಥವಾ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪ್ರದರ್ಶನಕ್ಕೆ ಅಥವಾ ಕೀಟನಾಶಕಗಳ ವಿತರಣೆಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ವಾಣಿಜ್ಯ ಕೀಟ ನಿಯಂತ್ರಣ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳಿಗಾಗಿ ನಿರ್ಬಂಧಿತ ಕೀಟನಾಶಕಗಳ ದಾಸ್ತಾನು ಮತ್ತು ಉಪಯೋಗಕ್ಕೆ ಪರವಾನಗಿ ನೀಡಲು ಕೀಟನಾಶಕ ಪರವಾನಗಿ ನೀಡಿಕೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳನ್ನಾಗಿ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ನೇಮಿಸಿದೆ.

ಕ್ರಮ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಪದನಾಮ	ಪ್ರದೇಶ
1.	ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಜಂಟಿ ಕೃಷಿ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರುಗಳು	ಅವರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ವ್ಯಾಪ್ತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯಪಾಲರ ಆದೇಶಾನುಸಾರ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಹೆಸರಿನಲ್ಲಿ

P.R.457

ಜೆ.ಆರ್. ವಿಶ್ವನಾಥಾಚಾರ್

SC-100

ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧೀನ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ, ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆ (ಯೋಜನೆ).

ಕೃಷಿ ಸಚಿವಾಲಯ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ–VI

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೃಇ/21/ಕೃಉಇ/2012, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ : 25-07-2013.

ಕೀಟನಾಶಕಗಳ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ, 1968 (1968ರ ಕೇಂದ್ರೀಯ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ 46) ರ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ 12ರಲ್ಲಿ (ಕೀಟನಾಶಕಗಳ ನಿಯಮಾವಳಿಗಳು, 1971ರ ನಿಯಮ 9 ರೊಂದಿಗೆ ಓದಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು) ದತ್ತವಾದ ಅಧಿಕಾರದ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ– X ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ : ಎಹೆಚ್ಡಿ/552/ಎಎಂಎಸ್/2001, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ದಿನಾಂಕ : 14–02–2002ನ್ನು ರದ್ದುಗೊಳಿಸಿ, ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಕೃಷಿ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರು, ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಇವರನ್ನು ಕೀಟನಾಶಕ ತಯಾರಿಕಾ ಪರವಾನಗಿ ನೀಡಿಕೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯನ್ನಾಗಿ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ನೇಮಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯಪಾಲರ ಆದೇಶಾನುಸಾರ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಹೆಸರಿನಲ್ಲಿ

P.R.458

ಜೆ.ಆರ್. ವಿಶ್ವನಾಥಾಚಾರ್ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧೀನ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ,

SC-100

ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧೀನ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆ (ಯೋಜನೆ).

# ಕಂದಾಯ ಸಚಿವಾಲಯ

# ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಆರ್ಡಿ 355 ಎಲ್ಆರ್ಎ 2012, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ: 02-02-2013.

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಭೂ ಸುಧಾರಣೆ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ (1961ರ ಕಲಂ 48ರ ಉಪ ಕಲಂ (1) ರಿಂದ (3) ರಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರದತ್ತವಾದ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಚಲಾಯಿಸಿ ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ, ತೀರ್ಥಹಳ್ಳಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ಭೂ ನ್ಯಾಯ ಮಂಡಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಾದ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ : ಆರ್ಡಿ 149 ಎಲ್ಆರ್ಎ 2008ರ ದಿನಾಂಕ : 21–01–2009ನ್ನು ಭಾಗಶಃ ಮಾರ್ಪಡಿಸಿ "ಶ್ರೀ ಹರ್ಷೇಂದ್ರ ಕುಮಾರ್" ಇವರನ್ನು ಅಧಿಕಾರೇತರ ಸದಸ್ಯರನ್ನಾಗಿ ನೇಮಿಸಿರುವುದನ್ನು ರದ್ದುಪಡಿಸಿ, ಇವರ ಜಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ "ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ.ಎನ್. ಅಶೋಕ ಬಿನ್ ನೇಮಣ್ಣ, ಬಿದರುಮನೆ, ಆರಗ ಅಂಚೆ, ತೀರ್ಥಹಳ್ಳಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು, ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ" ಇವರನ್ನು ನಾಮನಿರ್ದೇಶನ ಮಾಡಿ ಆದೇಶಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯಪಾಲರ ಆದೇಶಾನುಸಾರ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಹೆಸರಿನಲ್ಲಿ

P.R.459

ಬಿ.ಎಂ. ದಾಕ್ಷಾಯಣಮ್ಮ

SC-15

ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧೀನ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ, ಕಂದಾಯ ಇಲಾಖೆ (ಭೂ ಸುಧಾರಣೆ).

#### ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಚಿವಾಲಯ

#### ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಸಿಒ 12 ಸಿಎನ್ಎಸ್ 2013, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ: 10-07-2013.

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ 1959ರ ಪ್ರಕರಣ 28ಎ ಉಪ ಪ್ರಕರಣ 4ಬಿ)(1)ರ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಪ್ರದತ್ತವಾದ ಅಧಿಕಾರಗಳನ್ನು ಚಲಾಯಿಸಿ, ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ ಹೊಸಪೇಟೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಪ್ರಾಥಮಿಕ ಸಹಕಾರ ಕೃಷಿ ಮತ್ತು ಗ್ರಾಮೀಣಾಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಬ್ಯಾಂಕ್ ನಿ. ಹೊಸಪೇಟೆ ಇದರ ಆಡಳಿತ ಮಂಡಳಿಗೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಅಂಗಡಿ ನಾಗರಾಜ್ ತಂದೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಅಂಗಡಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನಾರಾಯಣಪ್ಪ, ಉಪ್ಪಾರಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮ, ಮೆಟ್ರಿ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಇವರನ್ನು ಸದಸ್ಯರನ್ನಾಗಿ ಈ ಕೂಡಲೇ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ಬರುವಂತೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಮುಂದಿನ ಆದೇಶದವರೆಗೆ ನಾಮನಿರ್ದೇಶನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತದೆ.

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯಪಾಲರ ಆದೇಶಾನುಸಾರ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಹೆಸರಿನಲ್ಲಿ

ಜಿ.ಎಸ್. ರಮಣರೆಡ್ಡಿ

ವಿಶೇಷ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯಾಧಿಕಾರಿ ಹಾಗೂ ಪದನಿಮಿತ್ತ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಜಂಟಿ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ, ಸಹಕಾರ ಇಲಾಖೆ.

P.R. 437

# HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE SECRETARIAT CORRIGENDUM

No. HFW 163 RGU 2013, BANGALORE, DATED 02-07-2013

The Government of Karnataka have notified the seat matrix for MBBS and BDS Courses vide Notification No. HFW 163 RGU 2013, dated 01-07-2013. In the Annexure-VI to the said notification at SI.No. 3 and 23 for the words and figures, the corrected words and figures shall be read as shown in the Annexure to this corrigendum.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

#### V. SAIBABA,

Under Secretary to the Government, Health and Family Welfare Department. (Medical Education).

# CORRIGENDUM TO THE ANNEXURE TO THE NOTIFICATION NO. HFW 163 RGU 2013, DATED 02-07-2013

SI. No.	Name of the College	Govt seats	GMG	GMR	GM KM	IG	IR	IKM	2A G	2A R	2A KM	2B G	2B R	2B KM	3A G	3A R	3A KM	3B G	3B R	3B KM	SC G	SC R	SC KM	ST G	ST R	ST KM	Total Seats
3	Bapuji Dental College, Davangere	34	13	3	1	1			4	1	1	1			1			1	1		4	1		1			34
23	Krishnadevaraya College of Dental Sciences, Bangalore	20	7	2	1				2			1			1			1	1		2	1		1			20

PR. 421

SC. 200

V. SAIBABA,

Under Secretary to the Government,
Health and Family Welfare Department. (Medical Education).